STROBE Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of *cohort studies*

	Item No	Recommendation	
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	
		Done	
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done ar	
		what was found	
		Done	
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported Done	
Objectives	3	State specific objectives	
		Done	
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	
, 6		Done	
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment,	
•		exposure, follow-up, and data collection	
		Done	
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility	
		criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants.	
		Done in Appendix 1	
		b) Describe methods of follow-up	
		Done	
		(b) For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed	
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect	
		modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	
		Done	
Data sources/	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessme	
measurement		(measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one	
		group	
		Done, including questionnaire as Appendix 2	
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	
		None identified	
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	
		As described – determined by pre-existing cohorts	
Quantitative	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describ	
variables		which groupings were chosen and why	
		Done	
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all	
		statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	
		(b) Done	
		(c) Describe any	
		methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	
		(d)	
		(b) Explain how	
		missing data were addressed	
		(c) Done	
		(d) If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed	

		(d)		Describe any
			sensitivity analyses	·
		(e)		Not applicable
Results				
Participants	13*	(a)		Report numbers of
			individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers pot	entially eligible, examined
			for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the st	udy, completing follow-up
			and analysed	
		(b)		Done
		(c)		Give reasons for
			non-participation at each stage	
		(d)		Done
		(c) Cons	sider use of a flow diagram	
Descriptive data	14*	(a)		Give characteristics
			of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, soci	ial) and information on
		4.	exposures and potential confounders	D.
		(b)		Done
			eate number of participants with missing data for each	
		(c)		Summarise follow-
		(4)	up time (eg, average and total amount)	Dana
Outcome data	15*	(d)	numbers of outcome events or summary measures ov	Done
Outcome data	13"	Report	iumbers of outcome events of summary measures ov	er time
		Done		
Main results	16	Done (a)		Give unadjusted
Main results	16	Done (a)	estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted es	Give unadjusted
Main results	16		estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted es (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which co	stimates and their precision
Main results	16		(eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which co	stimates and their precision
Main results	16			stimates and their precision
Main results	16	(a)	(eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which co	stimates and their precision onfounders were adjusted Done with
Main results	16	(a)	(eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which co	stimates and their precision onfounders were adjusted Done with
Main results	16	(a) (b)	(eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which co	Done with reening criterion of p<0.10
Main results	16	(a) (b)	(eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which co for and why they were included univariate analysis shown for all factors passing scr	Done with reening criterion of p<0.10
Main results	16	(a) (b) (c) (d)	(eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which co for and why they were included univariate analysis shown for all factors passing scr	Done with reening criterion of p<0.10 Report category rized Done
Main results	16	(a) (b) (c) (d) (c) If rel	(eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confor and why they were included univariate analysis shown for all factors passing scribboundaries when continuous variables were categorian.	Done with reening criterion of p<0.10 Report category rized Done
Main results Other analyses	16	(a) (b) (c) (d) (c) If rel meaning	(eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confor and why they were included univariate analysis shown for all factors passing scriboundaries when continuous variables were categories evant, consider translating estimates of relative risk	Done with reening criterion of p<0.10 Report category rized Done into absolute risk for a
		(a) (b) (c) (d) (c) If rel meaning	(eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confor and why they were included univariate analysis shown for all factors passing scriboundaries when continuous variables were categories evant, consider translating estimates of relative risk aful time period other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and in	Done with reening criterion of p<0.10 Report category rized Done into absolute risk for a
		(a) (b) (c) (d) (c) If rel meaning Report of	(eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confor and why they were included univariate analysis shown for all factors passing scriboundaries when continuous variables were categories evant, consider translating estimates of relative risk aful time period other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and in	Done with reening criterion of p<0.10 Report category rized Done into absolute risk for a
Other analyses Discussion		(a) (b) (c) (d) (c) If rel meaning Report coanalyses	(eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confor and why they were included univariate analysis shown for all factors passing scriboundaries when continuous variables were categories evant, consider translating estimates of relative risk aful time period other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and in	Done with reening criterion of p<0.10 Report category rized Done into absolute risk for a
Other analyses Discussion	17	(a) (b) (c) (d) (c) If rel meaning Report canalyses Summar	(eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confor and why they were included univariate analysis shown for all factors passing scriboundaries when continuous variables were categories evant, consider translating estimates of relative risk afful time period other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and increase were categories to subgroups and increase when continuous variables were categories evant, consider translating estimates of relative risk afful time period other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and increase were categories to study objectives.	Done with reening criterion of p<0.10 Report category rized Done into absolute risk for a
Other analyses Discussion Key results	17	(a) (b) (c) (d) (c) If rel meaning Report canalyses Summar	(eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confor and why they were included univariate analysis shown for all factors passing scribboundaries when continuous variables were categories evant, consider translating estimates of relative risk afful time period other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and in the continuous variables.	Done with reening criterion of p<0.10 Report category rized Done into absolute risk for a
Other analyses Discussion Key results	17	(a) (b) (c) (d) (c) If rel meaning Report canalyses Summar Done Discuss	(eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confor and why they were included univariate analysis shown for all factors passing scriboundaries when continuous variables were categories evant, consider translating estimates of relative risk afful time period other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and increase were categories to subgroups and increase when continuous variables were categories evant, consider translating estimates of relative risk afful time period other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and increase were categories to study objectives.	Done with reening criterion of p<0.10 Report category rized Done into absolute risk for a nteractions, and sensitivity of potential bias or
Other analyses Discussion Key results Limitations	17 18 19	(a) (b) (c) (d) (c) If rel meaning Report canalyses Summar Done Discuss imprecis Done	(eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confor and why they were included univariate analysis shown for all factors passing serious boundaries when continuous variables were categories evant, consider translating estimates of relative risk afful time period other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and in the continuous variables were categories evant, consider translating estimates of relative risk afful time period other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and in the continuous variables were categories evant, consider translating estimates of relative risk afful time period other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and in the continuous variables were categories evant, consider translating estimates of relative risk afful time period other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and in the continuous variables were categories evant, consider translating estimates of relative risk afful time period other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and in the continuous variables were categories evant, consider translating estimates of relative risk afful time period other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and in the continuous variables were categories evant, consider translating estimates of relative risk afful time period other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and in the continuous variables were categories evant, consider translating estimates of relative risk afful time period other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and in the continuous variables were categories evant.	Done with reening criterion of p<0.10 Report category rized Done into absolute risk for a nteractions, and sensitivity of potential bias or otential bias
Other analyses Discussion Key results	17	(a) (b) (c) (d) (c) If rel meaning Report canalyses Summar Done Discuss imprecis Done Give a compared to the	(eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confor and why they were included univariate analysis shown for all factors passing scribboundaries when continuous variables were categories evant, consider translating estimates of relative risk afful time period other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and increase were categories when continuous variables were categories evant, consider translating estimates of relative risk afful time period other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and increase were categories with the period of subgroups and increase were categories analyses of relative risk afful time period other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and increase were categories when continuous variables were categories analyses of relative risk afful time period other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and increase were categories analyses of relative risk afful time period other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and increase were categories analyses of subgroups and increase were categories analyses of relative risk afful time period other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and increase were categories analyses of subgroups and increase were categories analyses of relative risk afful time period other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and increase were categories and the period of the study of the period o	Done with reening criterion of p<0.10 Report category rized Done into absolute risk for a nteractions, and sensitivity of potential bias or otential bias objectives, limitations,
Other analyses Discussion Key results Limitations	17 18 19	(a) (b) (c) (d) (c) If rel meaning Report canalyses Summar Done Discuss imprecis Done Give a canalysis	(eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confor and why they were included univariate analysis shown for all factors passing serious boundaries when continuous variables were categories evant, consider translating estimates of relative risk afful time period other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and in the continuous variables were categories evant, consider translating estimates of relative risk afful time period other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and in the continuous variables were categories evant, consider translating estimates of relative risk afful time period other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and in the continuous variables were categories evant, consider translating estimates of relative risk afful time period other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and in the continuous variables were categories evant, consider translating estimates of relative risk afful time period other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and in the continuous variables were categories evant, consider translating estimates of relative risk afful time period other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and in the continuous variables were categories evant, consider translating estimates of relative risk afful time period other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and in the continuous variables were categories evant, consider translating estimates of relative risk afful time period other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and in the continuous variables were categories evant.	Done with reening criterion of p<0.10 Report category rized Done into absolute risk for a Interactions, and sensitivity of potential bias or otential bias objectives, limitations,
Other analyses Discussion Key results Limitations Interpretation	17 18 19 20	(b) (c) (d) (c) If rel meaning Report canalyses Summar Done Discuss imprecis Done Give a canultiplic Done	(eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confor and why they were included univariate analysis shown for all factors passing serious boundaries when continuous variables were categor evant, consider translating estimates of relative risk afful time period other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and its strike key results with reference to study objectives limitations of the study, taking into account sources sion. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any positive of analyses, results from similar studies, and other city of analyses, results from similar studies, and other city of analyses, results from similar studies, and other city of analyses, results from similar studies, and other city of analyses, results from similar studies, and other city of analyses, results from similar studies, and other city of analyses.	Done with reening criterion of p<0.10 Report category rized Done into absolute risk for a Interactions, and sensitivity of potential bias or otential bias objectives, limitations, her relevant evidence
Other analyses Discussion Key results Limitations	17 18 19	(b) (c) (d) (c) If rel meaning Report canalyses Summar Done Discuss imprecis Done Give a canultiplic Done	(eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confor and why they were included univariate analysis shown for all factors passing scribboundaries when continuous variables were categories evant, consider translating estimates of relative risk afful time period other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and increase were categories when continuous variables were categories evant, consider translating estimates of relative risk afful time period other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and increase were categories with the period of subgroups and increase were categories analyses of relative risk afful time period other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and increase were categories when continuous variables were categories analyses of relative risk afful time period other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and increase were categories analyses of relative risk afful time period other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and increase were categories analyses of subgroups and increase were categories analyses of relative risk afful time period other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and increase were categories analyses of subgroups and increase were categories analyses of relative risk afful time period other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and increase were categories and the period of the study of the period o	Done with reening criterion of p<0.10 Report category rized Done into absolute risk for a Interactions, and sensitivity of potential bias or otential bias objectives, limitations, her relevant evidence

Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if
		applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based
		Done

^{*}Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at http://www.strobe-statement.org.